



Government Gazette Staatskoerant

REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA
REPUBLIEK VAN SUID AFRIKA

Vol. 642

21 December 2018
Desember

No. 42133

N.B. The Government Printing Works will not be held responsible for the quality of "Hard Copies" or "Electronic Files" submitted for publication purposes

ISSN 1682-5843



AIDS HELPLINE: 0800-0123-22 Prevention is the cure

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING WORKS WILL NOT BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS THAT MIGHT OCCUR DUE TO THE SUBMISSION OF INCOMPLETE / INCORRECT / ILLEGIBLE COPY.

No FUTURE QUERIES WILL BE HANDLED IN CONNECTION WITH THE ABOVE.

Contents

<i>No.</i>	<i>Gazette No.</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
------------	------------------------	---------------------

GOVERNMENT NOTICES • GOEWERMENTSKENNISGEWINGS**Economic Development Department/ Ekonomiese Ontwikkeling Departement**

1423	Competition Act (89/1998): Request for public comment on the First Draft Set of Price Discrimination Regulation in terms of the Act and the Competition Amendment Bill, 2018 (B 23B-2018)	42133	4
1424	Competition Act (89/1998) and Competition Amendment Bill, 2018 [B 23B-2018]: Request for public comment on the First Draft Set of Buyer Power Regulations in terms of the Competition Act and Competition Amendment Bill.	42133	12
1425	Competition Act (89/1998): Request for public comment on the first draft set of definitions of small and medium business in terms of the Act	42133	22

GOVERNMENT NOTICES • GOEWERMENTSKENNISGEWINGS

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

NO. 1423

21 DECEMBER 2018

**REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE FIRST DRAFT SET OF PRICE
DISCRIMINATION REGULATIONS IN TERMS OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998
(ACT NO. 89 OF 1998) AND THE COMPETITION AMENDMENT BILL, 2018 [B 23B-
2018]**

Background

In my address to the National Assembly at the Second Reading Debate on the Competition Amendment Bill, 2018 [B 23B-2018] (the "Bill") on 23 October 2018, I announced the establishment of a Panel which would draft regulations to give effect to the Bill once it has been passed by Parliament. It was further announced that these regulations would be the subject of consultation with the public and the Competition Commission (the "Commission"), in line with the proposed amendments to section 78 of the Competition Act (Act. 89 of 1998) (the "Act").

Following the approval of the Bill by the National Council of Provinces on 4 December 2018, the Panel has concluded its preliminary work on a first draft set of regulations to give effect to the amendments in section 9 of the Act, regarding Price Discrimination.

Clause 6(b) of the Bill has introduced a new sub-paragraph 9(1)(a)(ii) to the Act, which states that an action by a dominant *firm*, as the seller of *goods or services*, is prohibited price discrimination, if it is likely to have the effect of impeding the ability of *small and medium businesses* or *firms* controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons, to *participate* effectively.

Clause 6(e) of the Bill has further provided for regulations made by the Minister in terms of section 78 of the Act—

- (i) to give effect to section 9, including the benchmarks for determining the application of this section to *firms* owned and controlled by historically disadvantaged persons; and
- (ii) setting out the relevant factors and benchmarks for determining whether a dominant *firm's* action is price discrimination that impedes the *participation* of

small and medium businesses and firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons.

The attached first draft set of regulations provides the initial thinking by the Panel on the general approach to determining the appropriate factors and benchmarks to give effect to the amendments to section 9.

Call for public comment

I hereby call on interested parties to provide representation and comment on these first draft set of regulations, including comment on (i) the general approach to the regulations; (ii) the specific wording used in the regulations; and (iii) any preferences for the options which have been presented in the regulations.

Specifically, comment is sought (i) from large firms as to whether the first draft set of regulations provides sufficient guidance regarding the practices which the Commission may investigate as a prohibited practice under section 9; (ii) from small and medium firms as to whether the first draft set of regulations sufficiently captures the range of abuses which small and medium firms may suffer as a result of price discrimination; and (iii) from interested parties as to whether the first draft set of regulations sufficiently addresses the changes in industries as a result of technological shifts, in particular those relating to the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Process to be followed

In making the proposed Price Discrimination Regulations, I intend to follow the following process:

Step 1 (this step): A first draft set of regulations is hereby published in order to begin an initial consultation on the proposed Price Discrimination Regulations prior to the President's assent to the Bill and its subsequent proclamation. Representation and comment should be made by 31 January 2019 in fulfilment of this step of the process.

Step 2: Following the notice issued in step 1 above, the Ministry intends to host a set of forums with firms, including large firms and small and medium firms, which may be impacted by the proposed amendments to section 9 of the Act. Any firm who wishes to be considered for participation in these forums should write to the Minister by 15 January 2019 to advise of its interest.

Step 3: Following assent of the Bill by the President; promulgation of the relevant sections; and conclusion of the Steps 1 and 2 above, a further second draft set of regulations will be published for public comment.

Step 4: Once this Step 3 has been completed it is expected that a final set of Price Discrimination Regulations will be published, taking into account the public comments, accompanied by promulgation of the amendments to section 9 of Act.

The process outlined above is thus intended to allow for a collaborative and open process regarding the publication of the final regulations to enable the maximum participation by affected parties.

Representation and comments, and requests to be considered for participation in any forums on the regulations, should be addressed to the Minister of Economic Development, for the attention of Ms MT Mushi, Email: ministry@economic.gov.za, Economic Development Department, Private Bag X149, Pretoria, 0001 or hand delivered at 77 Meintjies Street, Block A, Utangamari Building, 3rd Floor, Sunnyside, 0132. Deadlines for submission are set out in the text above.

Enquiries: Ms MT Mushi

Tel: 012 394 3458

Closing date: 31 January 2019 for written submissions

Deadline for expression of interest in attendance at forum: 15 January 2019.



EBRAHIM PATEL
MINISTER OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

PRICE DISCRIMINATION REGULATIONS

FIRST DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. NOTE: Reference to the Competition Act in these draft Regulations refers to the version of the Act passed by the National Council of Provinces on 4 December 2018.

1. Short Title

1.1. These Regulations may be cited as the *Price Discrimination Regulations*.

2. Interpretation

2.1. A word or expression that is defined in a chapter of the Act bears the same meaning in these Regulation as in the Act.

2.2. In these Regulations,

2.2.1. a reference to a section by number refers to the corresponding section of the Act;

2.2.2. a reference to a Regulation by number refers to the corresponding item of these Regulations; and

2.2.3. a reference to a sub-rule or paragraph by number refers to the corresponding item of the Regulations in which the reference appears.

2.3. In these Regulations unless the context indicates otherwise, "Act" means the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended from time to time.

3. Purpose

3.1. In terms of subsection 9(1)(a)(ii) of the Act, an action by a dominant firm, as the seller of goods or services, is prohibited price discrimination, if it is likely to have the effect of impeding the ability of small and medium businesses or firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons, to participate effectively;

3.2. In terms of subsection 9(4) of the Act, the *Minister* must, in terms of section 78, make regulations to address the following:

3.2.1. to give effect to this section, including the benchmarks for determining the application of this section to *firms* owned and controlled by historically disadvantaged persons; and

3.2.2. setting out the relevant factors and benchmarks for determining whether a dominant *firm's* action is price discrimination that impedes the *participation of small and medium businesses* and *firms* controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons.

4. Legislative Context to the Price Discrimination Regulations

4.1. The legislative intent of s9(1)(a)(ii) is to ensure that the pricing behaviour of dominant sellers does not undermine the public interest objectives of the Act, namely the participation of small and medium business or firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons (collectively the “designated class of purchasers”) in the economy. In so doing, the purpose is not to guarantee that every firm in the designated class remains in business, regardless of its business acumen and efficiency, but rather to ensure that there is in general a large and growing number of enterprises in this class.

4.2. It does so through creating a distinct provision from that which focuses on the competitive effects of price discrimination. Given this distinction and the focus on purely whether the designated class of firms are impeded or not, the legislature was clear that to establish an offence there is no requirement to:

4.2.1. demonstrate the conduct has an adverse effect on competition in any market;
or

4.2.2. factor into the assessment any impact on consumers, adverse or otherwise.

4.3. Furthermore, given the insertion of s9(3)(a), it is apparent that the legislature also intended that such differentiation in pricing would not be justifiable if the basis for the difference was purely on the costs of supplying different quantities. The rationale is that the designated class of suppliers should not be impeded purely as a result of their more limited size.

4.4. However, in responding to a *prima facie* case as set out in s9(3)(b), the legislation also permits the dominant seller to have reference to the effect of the price discrimination on the broader set of firms in the designated class to whom it sells. The purpose is to ensure the provision is capable of distinguishing between price discrimination that is capable of harming the designated class of firms and other factors which may be causing a single complainant business difficulties.

4.5. As this sub-section falls within the price discrimination provision more broadly, there are a number of elements related to price discrimination that must each be satisfied in order to establish an offence. These elements are:

4.5.1. The selling firm must be dominant or shown to have market power within the meaning of s7 of the Act;

4.5.2. There is discrimination between the designated class of purchasers and other purchasers outside that class of the form set out in s9(1)(c) in respect of equivalent transactions for goods or services of like grade and quality as set out in s9(1)(b) of the Act;

4.5.3. The differential treatment does not make reasonable allowance for differences in the cost or likely cost of supplying the good or service based on differing places or methods of supply as set out in s9(2)(a)(i) or (ii); or constitutes an act of good faith to meet a competitor's price as set out in s9(2)(b); or is a legitimate response to changes in market conditions as set out in s9(2)(c);

4.5.4. The differential in price relative to other purchasers must causally impede the effective participation of the designated class of purchasers.

5. Price Discrimination Regulations

5.1. The Act defines "participate" as "*the ability of or opportunity for firms to sustain themselves in the market*". S9(1)(a)(ii) specifies that it is not just the ability to participate, but participate effectively that must be impeded. In the context of price discrimination this implies:

5.1.1. The price differential must be relative to other purchasers that operate in the same or similar downstream markets;

5.1.2. The price differential must place the firm in the designated class at a competitive disadvantage in the broad markets in which they participate and that such impact is not trivial or immaterial;

5.1.3. This implies that it is not necessary to demonstrate that the firm in the designated class is unable to compete or is not financially viable, but only whether it is at a competitive disadvantage.

5.2. Factors and benchmarks that may be relevant as to whether the price differential itself has impeded participation include, but are not limited to:

- 5.2.1. The extent of the difference in price relative to other firms in the same market downstream;
- 5.2.2. The importance of the input in the cost structure of production, or alternatively its importance for other factors that drive sales in the downstream markets such as product or service characteristics, quality and range;
- 5.2.3. Whether the difference in price combined with its importance is competitively relevant such that it places a firm in the designated class at a disadvantage;
- 5.2.4. The actual performance of the firm in the designated class, including trends in sales, market entry/exit, customer range, investment and profits;
- 5.2.5. The actual performance of other firms in the designated class of purchasers, including patterns of entry and exit, trends in sales, customer range, profits and investment, and/or their performance relative to other purchasers in the same or similar downstream markets;
- 5.2.6. The likely performance of the firm and/or other firms in the designated class in the counterfactual where the differential is eliminated.

6. Application to firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons

- 6.1. The wording of s9(1)(a)(ii) makes clear that the legislature intended the section to apply to firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons that also fell outside the category of small and medium enterprises. However, the legislature also intended to limit its application to such firms, given that customers that did not necessarily suffer from an inferior bargaining position may not require public interest protection.
- 6.2. In this context, section 9(1)(a)(ii) should not apply to firms controlled and owned by historically disadvantaged persons that purchase a material and significant share of the input from the dominant seller, such that this provides them with countervailing buyer power.

Note to section 6 of the *Price Discrimination Regulations*: Public comment is invited as to whether a presumptive threshold specified as a percentage of purchases should also be set (for example that 10% or less of the output of a dominant firm is purchased by a firm that is controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons), outside of which the onus would shift to either demonstrating countervailing power or the lack thereof, and if so the level of such a threshold.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

NO. 1424

21 DECEMBER 2018

**REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE FIRST DRAFT SET OF BUYER
POWER REGULATIONS IN TERMS OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998 (ACT NO. 89
OF 1998) AND THE COMPETITION AMENDMENT BILL, 2018 [B 23B-2018]**

Background

In my address to the National Assembly at the Second Reading Debate on the Competition Amendment Bill, 2018 [B 23B-2018] (the “Bill”) on 23 October 2018, I announced the establishment of a Panel which would draft regulations to give effect to the Bill once it has been passed by Parliament. It was further announced that these regulations would be the subject of consultation with the public and the Competition Commission (the “Commission”), in line with the proposed amendments to section 78 of the Competition Act (Act. 89 of 1998) (the “Act”).

Following the approval of the Bill by the National Council of Provinces on 4 December 2018, the Panel has concluded its preliminary work on a first draft set of regulations to give effect to the amendments in section 8 of the Act, regarding Buyer power.

Clause 5 of the Bill has introduced a new subsection 8(4) to the Act, which states it is prohibited for a dominant firm in a sector designated by the Minister in terms of paragraph 8(4)(d) to directly or indirectly, require from or impose on a supplier that is a small and medium business or a firm controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons, unfair—

- (i) prices; or
- (ii) other trading conditions.

Clause 5 of the Bill has further provided for regulations made by the Minister in terms of section 78 of the Act—

- (i) The factors and benchmarks for determining whether prices and other trading conditions imposed are unfair;
- (ii) The sectors to which subsection 8(4) will apply; and
- (iii) In respect of firms owned or controlled by historically disadvantaged persons, the benchmarks for determining the firms to which subsection 8(4) will apply.

The attached first draft set of regulations provides the initial thinking by the Panel on the general approach to determining the appropriate factors and benchmarks to give effect to the amendments to subsection 8(4).

Call for public comment

I hereby call on interested parties to provide representation and comment on these first draft set of regulations, including comment on (i) the general approach to the regulations; (ii) the specific wording used in the regulations; and (iii) any preferences for the options which have been presented in the regulations.

Specifically, comment is sought (i) from large firms as to whether the first draft set of regulations provides sufficient guidance regarding the practices which the Commission may investigate as a prohibited practice under subsection 8(4); (ii) from small and medium firms as to whether the first draft set of regulations sufficiently captures the range of abuses which small and medium firms may suffer as a result of abuse of buyer power; and (iii) from interested parties as to whether the first draft set of regulations sufficiently addresses the changes in industries as a result of technological shifts, in particular those relating to the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Process to be followed

In making the proposed Buyer Power Regulations, I intend to follow the following process:

Step 1 (this step): A first draft set of regulations is hereby published in order to begin an initial consultation on the proposed Buyer Power Regulations prior to the President's assent to the Bill and its subsequent proclamation. Representation and comment should be made by 31 January 2019 in fulfilment of this step of the process.

Step 2: Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Ministry intends to host a set of forums with firms, including large firms and small and medium firms, which may be impacted by the proposed amendments to subsection 8(4) of the Act. Any firm who wishes to be considered for participation in these forums should write to the Minister by 15 January 2019 to advise of its interest.

Step 3: Following assent of the Bill by the President; promulgation of the relevant sections; and conclusion of the Steps 1 and 2 above, a further second draft set of regulations will be published for public comment.

Step 4: Once this Step 3 has been completed it is expected that a final set of Buyer Power Regulations will be published, taking into account the public comments, accompanied by promulgation of the amendments to subsection 8(4) of Act.

The process outlined above is thus intended to allow for a collaborative and open process regarding the publication of the final regulations to enable the maximum participation by affected parties.

Representation and comments, and requests to be considered for participation in any forums on the regulations, should be made by 31 January 2019 and should be addressed to the Minister of Economic Development, for the attention of Ms MT Mushi, Email: ministry@economic.gov.za, Economic Development Department, Private Bag X149, Pretoria, 0001 or hand delivered at 77 Meintjies Street, Block A, Utangamari Building, 3rd Floor, Sunnyside, 0132.

**Enquiries: Ms MT Mushi
Tel: 012 394 3458**

Closing date: 31 January 2019



**EBRAHIM PATEL
MINISTER OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

BUYER POWER REGULATIONS

FIRST DRAFT SET FOR PUBLIC COMMENT NOTE: Reference to the Competition Act in these draft Regulations refers to the version of the Act passed by the National Council of Provinces on 4 December 2018.

1. Short Title

1.1. These Regulations may be cited as the *Buyer Power Regulations*.

2. Interpretation

2.1. A word or expression that is defined in a chapter of the Act bears the same meaning in these Regulation as in the Act.

2.2. In these Regulations,

2.2.1. a reference to a section by number refers to the corresponding section of the Act;

2.2.2. a reference to a Regulation by number refers to the corresponding item of these Regulations; and

2.2.3. a reference to a sub-rule or paragraph by number refers to the corresponding item of the Regulations in which the reference appears.

2.3. In these Regulations unless the context indicates otherwise, “Act” means the Competition Act, 1998 (Act No. 89 of 1998), as amended from time to time.

3. Purpose

3.1. In terms of subsection 8(4)(a) of the Act, it is prohibited for a dominant firm in a sector designated by the Minister in terms of paragraph (d) to directly or indirectly, require from or impose on a supplier that is a small and medium business or a firm controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons, unfair—

3.1.1. prices; or

3.1.2. other trading conditions.

3.2. In terms of subsection 8(4)(d) of the Act, the *Minister* must, in terms of section 78, make regulations to address the following—

3.2.1. The factors and benchmarks for determining whether prices and other trading conditions imposed are unfair;

3.2.2. The sectors to which subsection 8(4) will apply; and

3.2.3. In respect of firms owned or controlled by historically disadvantaged persons, the benchmarks for determining the firms to which subsection 8(4) will apply.

4. Legislative Context to the Buyer Power Regulations

4.1. The legislative intent of s8(4)(a) is to protect the public interest by making it an offence for a firm with buyer power to unfairly exploit suppliers that are small and medium business or firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons (collectively the “designated class of suppliers”) in specific sectors of the economy. In so doing, the purpose is not to protect firms in the designated class from competing to supply large customers on the merits, but rather to ensure that they are equitably treated in gaining access to customers and markets.

4.1.1. Such unfair exploitation includes imposing inferior prices or trading terms on the designated class of suppliers simply because of their inferior bargaining position, smaller quantities supplied or ownership structure.

4.1.2. As such, unfairness may be determined in and of itself, or relative to other suppliers of the same or similar goods or services as the designated class of suppliers.

4.2. Furthermore, as the legislature structured this provision as an exploitative abuse focused on the public interest, the wording and intent of section 8(4)(a) is such that to establish an offence there is no requirement to:

4.2.1. demonstrate the conduct has an adverse effect on competition in any market;
or

4.2.2. weigh up the impact of the conduct as against the impact on consumers or customers of the buyer firm.

4.3. The plain wording of section 8(4)(a) sets out a number of elements that must each be satisfied in order to establish an offence. These elements are:

4.3.1. The purchasing firm and the transaction with the supplier must lie within a sector designated by the Minister in terms of s8(4)(d)(i);

- 4.3.2. The buyer firm must be dominant or shown to have market power within the meaning of s7 of the Act;
- 4.3.3. The supplier must be a small and medium business within the meaning of the Act and any regulations gazetted by the Minister or alternatively a firm controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons within the meaning of the Act and within the benchmarks determined by the Minister as per s8(4)(d)(i);
- 4.3.4. The price or trading condition must be required from or imposed on the supplier by the buyer firm;
- 4.3.5. The price or trading condition must be unfair, either in itself or relative to other suppliers.
- 4.4. For the purposes of s8(4)(a), the assessment of market power is conducted in relation to buyer power in respect of the firms within the designated class of suppliers which form the subject of this section. Factors that are relevant to the consideration of buyer power in relation to a class of suppliers include, but are not limited to:
 - 4.4.1. The dependency of the suppliers on the particular buyer;
 - 4.4.2. The importance of the buyer in specific distribution channels for the supplied good or service;
 - 4.4.3. The realistic alternatives available to such suppliers, including within a specific distribution channel for the supplier good or service;
 - 4.4.4. The alternative suppliers available to the buyer;
 - 4.4.5. The nature of supply negotiations between the buyer and suppliers;
 - 4.4.6. The outcome of supply negotiations relative to other buyers in the market.

5. Buyer Power Regulations

- 5.1. Reference to a price within these regulations includes discounts, rebates, commissions, allowances or credit. The mere fact a price is contractually agreed does not immunise it from this provision in the Act.

- 5.2. A price may be deemed unfair if it inhibits an efficient firm within the designated class of suppliers to sustainably operate and grow their business, and/or otherwise found to be unconscionable. Factors and benchmarks that may be relevant to this consideration include, but are not limited to:
- 5.2.1. The average total costs or long run average incremental costs of the firm for the specific product or service;
 - 5.2.2. Any additional relationship-specific costs to supply the particular buyer;
 - 5.2.3. Reductions imposed on the purchase price absent changes in costs or market conditions;
 - 5.2.4. The performance of the firm, including trends in sales, profits and investment, with the specific buyer and with other buyers; and
 - 5.2.5. The performance of other firms in the designated class of suppliers subject to the same prices by the same buyer, including patterns of entry and exit.
- 5.3. Alternatively, a price may be deemed unfair if it is inferior relative to other suppliers outside the designated class and there is no reasonable rationale for the difference. A rationale that is essentially related to the quantity of goods or services supplied or the ownership structure of the supplier is impermissible. Factors and benchmarks that may be relevant to this consideration include, but are not limited to:
- 5.3.1. The prices paid for the same or similar goods and services by the buyer to suppliers outside of the designated class of suppliers;
 - 5.3.2. Any differences in, amongst others, the quality, product characteristics and brand value of the goods or services supplied;
 - 5.3.3. Whether the difference in price is reasonably related and/or proportionate to the identified differences.
- 5.4. Trading conditions include any explicit terms contained in contractual arrangements as well as any implied or actual trading terms implemented by the buyer outside of the supply contract. The mere fact a trading condition is contractually agreed does not immunise it from this provision in the Act. Factors that may be relevant to the consideration of whether a trading condition may be deemed unfair in and of itself it, include but are not limited to:

- 5.4.1. It unreasonably transfers risks and/or costs onto the firm in the designated class of suppliers;
 - 5.4.2. It is one-sided, onerous and/or not proportionate to the objective of the clause;
 - 5.4.3. It bears no reasonable relation to the objective of the supply agreement.
- 5.5. Trading conditions that have been found to be unfair in other jurisdictions and which may be given due consideration by the Commission, include, but are not necessarily limited to:
- 5.5.1. Trading without a contract, which imposes uncertainty and risk on the supplier, whilst at the same time denying them standard contractual rights and protections;
 - 5.5.2. Imposing costs or risks onto the supplier that are not spelt out in a clear and unambiguous manner or quantified within the supply contract;
 - 5.5.3. Unilateral changes in the supply terms that are detrimental to the supplier;
 - 5.5.4. Retrospectively changing supply terms of a material nature to the detriment of the supplier;
 - 5.5.5. Excessively long payment terms;
 - 5.5.6. An unreasonable transfer of the buyer's costs of promotion and marketing onto the supplier;
 - 5.5.7. Transfer of the buyer's risks of wastage or shrinkage onto the supplier where it is not due to the supplier's negligence or fault;
- 5.6. Alternatively, a trading condition may be deemed unfair if it is inferior relative to other suppliers outside the designated class and there is no reasonable rationale for the difference. A rationale that is essentially related to the quantity of goods or services supplied or the ownership structure of the supplier is impermissible. Factors and benchmarks that may be relevant to this consideration include, but are not limited to:
- 5.6.1. The trading conditions in contracts with suppliers outside of the designated class for the purchase of the same or similar goods and services by the buyer;

5.6.2. Any objective rationale for such differences; and

5.6.3. Whether the difference in trading term is reasonably related and/or proportionate to the identified rationale.

6. Designated Sectors to which s8(4)(a) will apply

6.1. The concern in respect of the exploitation of buyer power over the designated class of suppliers typically occurs where there is greater downstream concentration relative to upstream supply, and the designated class of suppliers are active or have the potential to be active in the upstream supply.

6.2. In the context where there are frequently multiple stages to a supply chain, section 8(4) of the Act will apply to:

6.2.1. as purchasers, all firms with buyer power which are customers at any stage in the supply chain of the sectors as set out in the subsection below; and

6.2.2. as suppliers, small and medium businesses or, subject to sub-section 7 of these regulations, firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons, that are suppliers at any stage in the supply chain of the sectors as set out in the subsection below.

6.3. Sectors identified in other jurisdictions or raised in the course of debates on the need for a buyer power provision in the Act, and which may fulfil such criteria, include:

6.3.1. The food and grocery wholesale and retail supply chain (identified in the EU and where large numbers of SMEs exist upstream);

6.3.2. The apparel retail supply chain (where upstream supply has become increasingly fragmented domestically);

6.3.3. Online trading platforms (identified increasingly in global debates on competition law in respect of large tech companies);

6.3.4. The construction supply chain (where sub-contracting relationships with smaller firms are common);

6.3.5. The financial and insurance supply chain (for instance, in relation to small motor vehicle repair shops or intermediaries) ; and

6.3.6. The private healthcare supply chain (for instance, in relation to the supply of consumables and even categories of healthcare providers themselves).

Note to section 6 of the *Buyer Power Regulations*: The Ministry has taken no view on the appropriate sectors to be set out in the Regulations, though there is advantage in limiting the number of sectors to enable the Commission to focus its efforts to the most egregious examples of buyer power abuses, and to develop significant expertise in the sectors concerned. Public comments is invited as to whether these sectors identified in 6.3 above do indeed fulfil the criteria cited in 6.1 above such that a risk of buyer power exploitation exists or can be anticipated to arise in future; and whether there are other sectors that also fulfil such criteria and what is the optimal scope of sectors for the initial implementation of the buyer power provision.

7. Application to firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons

7.1. The wording of s8(4)(a) makes clear that the legislature intended the section to apply to firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons that also fell outside the category of small and medium enterprises. However, the legislature also intended to limit its application to such firms, given that customers that did not necessarily suffer from an inferior bargaining position may not require public interest protection.

7.2. In this context, section 8(4)(a) should not apply to firms controlled and owned by historically disadvantaged persons that sell a material and significant share of the input to the dominant seller, such that this provides them with countervailing seller power.

Note to section 7 of these Regulations: Public comment is invited as to whether a presumptive threshold specified as a percentage of sales to the dominant buyer (or the market as a whole) should also be set, (For example, 10% or less of the purchases of the relevant input by the dominant buyer are supplied by a firm that is controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons), outside of which the onus would shift to either demonstrating countervailing power or the lack thereof, and if so the level of such a threshold.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

NO. 1425

21 DECEMBER 2018

**REQUEST FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE FIRST DRAFT SET OF DEFINITIONS
OF SMALL AND MEDIUM BUSINESS IN TERMS OF THE COMPETITION ACT, 1998
(ACT NO. 89 OF 1998) AND THE COMPETITION AMENDMENT BILL, 2018 [B 23B-
2018]**

Background

In my address to the National Assembly at the Second Reading Debate on the Competition Amendment Bill, 2018 [B 23B-2018] (the "Bill") on 23 October 2018, I announced the establishment of a Panel which would draft regulations to give effect to the Bill once it has been passed by Parliament. It was further announced that these regulations would be the subject of consultation with the public and the Competition Commission (the "Commission"), in line with the proposed amendments to section 78 of the Competition Act (Act. 89 of 1998) (the "Act").

Clause 1(f) of the Bill has introduced a new definition for 'medium-sized business'; and clause 1(l) of the Bill has amended the definition for 'small business'. Clause 1(k) of the Bill has further introduced a definition for 'small and medium business', meaning either a 'small business' or a 'medium-sized business'.

The definition of 'small and medium business' has an important bearing on the types of firms which will benefit from certain protections and consideration under the Bill and the Act.

On 12 October 2018, the Minister of Small Business Development published a call for public comment on the National Small Enterprise Definition as contained in the National Small Business Act, 1996 (Act No. 102 of 1996), read with the National Enterprise Amendment Act, 2003 (Act No. 26 of 2003) and the National Small Enterprises Act, 2004 (Act No. 29 of 2004). The National Small Enterprise Definition specifies thresholds based on total annual turnover and the total full-time equivalent of paid employees to determine a micro, small and medium enterprise in a number of industries. The proposed thresholds have been published in the Schedule to Notice No. 1094 in Government Gazette No. 41970.

Such period for public comment has now expired and I am advised that the Department of Small Business Development is currently reviewing the representation received.

Call for public comment

I hereby call on interested parties to provide representation and comment on the appropriateness of the thresholds specified in Gazette No. 41970 regarding the National Small Enterprise Definition, as it may apply to determining a small business or medium-sized business in terms of the Competition Act and Competition Amendment Bill, and whether the proposed definitions sufficiently address the changes in industries as a result of technological shifts, in particular those relating to the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Process to be followed

In making the proposed small and medium business definitions, I intend to follow the following process:

Step 1 (this step): A first draft definition is hereby published in order to begin an initial consultation on the proposed small and medium business definition prior to the President's assent to the Bill and its subsequent proclamation. Representation and comment should be made by 31 January 2019 in fulfilment of this step of the process.

Step 2: Following the conclusion of the public comment period, the Ministry may host a set of forums with a selection of firms, drawn from small and larger firms. Any firm who wishes to be considered for participation in these forums should write to the Minister by 15 January 2019 to advise of its interest.

Step 3: Following assent of the Bill by the President; promulgation of the relevant sections; and conclusion of the Steps 1 and 2 above, a further second draft set of definitions will be published for public comment.

Step 4: Once this Step 3 has been completed it is expected that a final set of small and medium business definitions will be published, taking into account the public comments, accompanied by promulgation of the amendments to the Act.

The process outlined above is thus intended to allow for a collaborative and open process regarding the publication of the final regulations to enable the maximum participation by affected parties.

Representation and comments, and requests to be considered for participation in any forums on the regulations, should be made by 31 January 2019 and should be addressed to the Minister of Economic Development, for the attention of Ms MT Mushi, Email: ministry@economic.gov.za, Economic Development Department, Private Bag X149, Pretoria, 0001 or hand delivered at 77 Meintjies Street, Block A, Utangamari Building, 3rd Floor, Sunnyside, 0132.

Enquiries: Ms MT Mushi
Tel: 012 394 3458

Closing date: 31 January 2019

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ebrahim Patel', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

EBRAHIM PATEL
MINISTER OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

WARNING!!!

To all suppliers and potential suppliers of goods to the Government Printing Works

The Government Printing Works would like to warn members of the public against an organised syndicate(s) scamming unsuspecting members of the public and claiming to act on behalf of the Government Printing Works.

One of the ways in which the syndicate operates is by requesting quotations for various goods and services on a quotation form with the logo of the Government Printing Works. Once the official order is placed the syndicate requesting upfront payment before delivery will take place. Once the upfront payment is done the syndicate do not deliver the goods and service provider then expect payment from Government Printing Works.

Government Printing Works condemns such illegal activities and encourages service providers to confirm the legitimacy of purchase orders with GPW SCM, prior to processing and delivery of goods.

To confirm the legitimacy of purchase orders, please contact:

Anna-Marie du Toit (012) 748-6292 (Anna-Marie.DuToit@gpw.gov.za) and

Siraj Rizvi (012) 748-6380 (Siraj.Rizvi@gpw.gov.za)

Printed by and obtainable from the Government Printer, Bosman Street, Private Bag X85, Pretoria, 0001
Contact Centre Tel: 012-748 6200. eMail: info.egazette@gpw.gov.za
Publications: Tel: (012) 748 6053, 748 6061, 748 6065