



REPUBLIC OF ZAMBIA

GOVERNMENT GAZETTE

Published by Authority

Price: K15.00 net
Annual Subscription: —K400.00

No. 7105]

Lusaka, Thursday, 17th March, 2022

[Vol. LVIII, No. 29

GAZETTE NOTICE NO. 495 OF 2022

[0484610

The Securities and Exchange Commission

The Securities Act (Act No. 41 of 2016)

Implementation of Risk Based Supervision in the Zambian Capital Markets

1. Introduction

1.1 The Securities and Exchange Commission

- 1.1.1 The Securities and Exchange Commission (“the Commission” or “the SEC”) is the regulator of the Capital Markets in Zambia. It was established through Cap 354 of the Laws of Zambia and its existence was continued through the Securities Act no. 41 of 2016 (“the Act”).
- 1.1.2 The Commission’s mandate as prescribed in Section 9 of the Act is to create and promote conditions in the capital markets aimed at ensuring the orderly growth, integrity and development of the capital markets. Central to the SEC’s mandate are the objectives of:
 - (a) protecting investors;
 - (b) ensuring that markets are fair, efficient and transparent; and
 - (c) reducing systemic risk.
- 1.1.3 The SEC’s mandate is aligned to the International Organisation for Securities Commissions (“IOSCO”) Objectives and Principles for Securities Regulation (“the Principles”). IOSCO has noted that in order to effectively apply the Principles, “an increasing number of (its) members are now moving away from a rigid rules-based system of regulation to a system that is more reliant on the supervisor’s discretion and professional judgment through adoption of a risk-based supervisory structure.”
- 1.1.3 Upon consideration of the benefits and outcomes that are anticipated to be achieved through the application of risk based supervision in the Zambian capital markets and the legal basis provided by section 9 of the Act, the SEC resolved to adopt risk based supervision. To this end, the Board of the Securities and Exchange Commission approved the Risk Based Supervision Policy (“SEC RBS Policy”) which outlines the Commission’s approach to supervision of capital markets in Zambia. The key concepts of the SEC RBS Policy are outlined below.

1.2 SEC Risk Based Supervision Policy

- 1.2.1 This document sets out the Commission’s Risk Based Supervision (RBS) Policy (“SEC RBS Policy”) for the supervision of Capital Market Operators (CMOs) operating in Zambia. This policy document is supported by the Commission’s Risk Based Supervision Framework (“RBS Framework”) and other operational manuals developed by the Commission.

1.3 Scope of the SEC RBS Policy

- 1.3.1 The SEC RBS Policy applies to all CMOs in Zambia including but not limited to licensees, issuers of securities that are registered with the Commission, authorised Collective Investment Schemes, Custodians, Trustees and Self-Regulatory Organisations. The RBS Policy also applies to foreign capital markets operators and participants operating in the Zambian capital markets.

1.4 Importance of RBS Policy to stakeholders

- 1.4.1 It is critical for stakeholders, principally the boards and senior management of CMOs, to fully appreciate the RBS Policy, owing to the Commission’s expectation of their role in mitigating risk in the business operations of their respective CMOs. Amongst other benefits, the RBS Policy provides a basis for improved and better communication between the CMO and the SEC focusing on the key risk areas.

2. Commission’s approach to Risk Based Supervision

2.1 The following is the SEC’s general approach to supervision:

- (1) **Principles-Based Supervision:** The Commission’s supervisory approach is principles-based, emphasizing the use and application of sound predictive judgment in identifying and assessing risks faced by the CMO, assessing the adequacy of the CMOs’ risk management processes, and determining the appropriate supervisory response/intervention.

- (2) Supervisory Intensity and Intervention: The intensity of supervision will depend on the nature, size, complexity and risk profile of a CMO, and the potential consequences to the system of the CMO's failure. It is expected that CMOs posing greater risks to investors and the public interest would require a greater supervisory intensity.
- (3) Board and Senior Management Accountability: A CMO's Board of Directors and Senior Management are responsible for the strategic management and direction of the CMO and are ultimately accountable for ensuring that the CMO and its employees perform their duties and obligations in a manner that complements the SEC's supervisory mandate.
- (4) Risk of failure of a CMO: Operational and/or financial failure of CMOs is anticipated to happen from time to time. The SEC will endeavour to ensure that CMOs regularly demonstrate resilience and have in place or formulate recovery plans as needed. In addition, where CMOs fail or face the prospect of failure, the SEC will work with other relevant authorities to implement appropriate resolution plans. Where CMOs fail, the Commission will endeavor to ensure that there is an orderly exit of the failed CMO from capital markets.
- (5) Groupwide supervision: The Commission supervises CMOs that fall within conglomerate or group structures. In supervising such CMOs, the Commission uses information available from other regulators as appropriate.
- (6) Cross sectoral considerations: For CMOs whose activities spill over into areas regulated by other financial sector regulators such as Bank of Zambia, Pensions and Insurance Authority, the Commission takes into account the potential impact of the non-capital markets activity on the CMO.
- (7) Reliance on External Auditors and other experts: The Commission may rely on and use the work of CMOs' external auditors and experts in specialist areas such as Information technology, property valuation, fraud and forensics etc. to undertake its supervisory activities.

3. Key principles:

3.1 In applying RBS, the Commission is guided by three core principles:

- Principle 1: Use of judgement: The Commission's supervisory activities are based on judgements reached by Commission staff to assess the inherent risks that CMOs face, the risks that CMOs pose to Commission's objectives and the adequacy of the CMO's resources to manage the risks identified and the determination of supervisory responses.
- Principle 2: Focus on key risks: The risk assessment the Commission performs in its supervisory work is focused on identifying key risks posed by a CMO to the SEC's supervisory objectives. The emphasis on key risks ensures that the Commission allocates resources efficiently to areas with the highest risk. Other risks will be tracked through various monitoring mechanisms.
- Principle 3: Forward-looking, early intervention: Risk assessment is forward-looking and dynamic. This view facilitates the early identification of issues or problems, and timely intervention where corrective actions need to be taken, so that there is a greater likelihood of the satisfactory resolution of issues.

4. Primary risk assessment concepts

4.1 The SEC RBS methodology

4.1.1 The Commission will apply the following RBS methodology and primary risk assessment concepts.

- (1) Significant activities: Using Knowledge of Business ("KoB") to identify the CMO's significant activities.
- (2) Inherent Risks: Identification and assessment of Inherent Risks, emphasis on key Inherent Risks
- (3) Quality of Risk Management ("QRMs"): identification and assessment of QRM functions, which are Operational Management, Risk Management, Compliance, Internal Audit, Senior Management and the Board of Directors in the CMO.
- (4) Net Risk: Assessment of the residue inherent risk in the significant activity after considering the impact of QRMs.
- (5) Overall Net Risk: Assessment of the potential adverse impact that significant activities collectively could have on the CMOs ability to ensure protection of investors.
- (6) Institutional Level Support: an assessment of the CMO's financial resources (Earnings, Capital & Liquidity) available to enable it to manage Net risk.
- (7) Composite Risk Rating: the risk taking into account the adequacy of the CMO's financial resources to manage its overall net risk.
- (8) Intervention Rating: to determine the supervisory intervention.

4.2 Inherent Risk

Inherent risk is the probability of material loss to investors due to exposure to, and uncertainty arising from, current and potential future events arising from the undesirable market conduct practices of a CMO or its representatives/agents. The Commission uses the following categories to assess inherent risk:

- (1) Conduct risk: risk that the conduct of the CMO and/ or its employees will result in poor outcomes for investors and/or other stakeholders.

- (2) Credit risk: risk arising from a counterparty's potential inability or unwillingness to fully meet its on and/or off-balance sheet contractual obligations.
- (3) Market risk: arises from potential changes in market rates, prices or liquidity in various markets e.g., interest rates, foreign exchange, equities, commodities.
- (4) Operational risk: arises from potential problems due to inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events.
- (5) Legal and Regulatory risk: arises from a CMO's potential non-conformance with laws & regulations and contractual obligations entered into in the normal course of its business operations.
- (6) Strategic risk: arises from a CMO's potential inability to implement its strategies, make decisions or adapt to changes in its business environment.
- (7) Money Laundering/ Terrorist Funding Risk (ML/TF Risk): ML/ FT Risk is the risk that the CMO's activities result in its being used as a conduit to conduct money laundering activities and financing of terrorism.

4.3 Quality of Risk Management

- 4.3.1 The RBS emphasizes the requirement to assess the effectiveness of the mitigants available to the CMO to address the assessed inherent risks. Under RBS, the Commission assesses the QRMs/ lines of defense as follows:

<i>Name of QRM</i>	<i>Level of defense</i>
Operational management	1st Line of defense
Risk Management	2nd Line of defense
Compliance	
Internal Audit	3rd Line of defense
Senior Management	4th Line of defense
Board of directors	5th Line of defense

4.4 Composite Risk & Intervention Ratings

- 4.4.1 The Commission supervisory response shall depend on the overall conclusions reached about the CMOs risk status. In this regard, the Commission shall be guided by the intervention rating determined for each CMO and apply specific regulatory actions outlined in the SEC RBS Policy. The SEC RBS Policy prescribed the following intervention ratings:

- 0 - Normal
- 1 - Early Warning
- 2 - Risk to financial viability or solvency
- 3 - Future financial viability in serious doubt
- 4 - Non-viable/ Insolvency imminent

- 4.4.2 A higher intervention rating requires a more aggressive regulatory response from the Commission as it indicates that the CMO poses a greater risk to investors and the wellbeing of the Zambian capital markets.

- 4.4.3 The intervention rating is based on the Commission's risk assessment of the CMO known as the Composite Risk Rating ("CRR"). The CRR is the "final" rating and reflects the assessment of the entity's ability to manage the inherent risks in its significant activities after considering its financial resources (i.e., Earnings, Liquidity and Capital). Accordingly, the assessment includes a review of the quality, quantity, and availability of externally and internally generated capital. The CRR may change if something material occurs in the risks that affects the risk rating. The time frame between the last assessment of a CMO's CRR to the next assessment is generally over a 12-month period, or until there is a material change affecting the CRR.

5. The core supervisory process

6.1 Dynamic, iterative and continuous supervisory process

- 6.1.1 Commission uses a defined process to guide its CMO-specific supervisory work. The Commission's supervisory process comprises of three broad iterative activities as follows:

1. Planning & Risk Profiling: includes determination of significant activities and inherent risks.
2. Execution of supervisory activities: focused on identified inherent risks.
3. Reporting and Intervention: mainly reporting matters to be addressed by the CMO's Board and Senior management. Intervention is the Commission's supervisory actions to ensure management addresses the matters raised in the supervisory letters.

- 6.1.2 Performing supervisory work in this fashion helps keep the Commission's risk assessments current and forward looking, which is vital to its ongoing effectiveness.

3.1 Planning & Risk Profiling:

- 3.1.1 A supervisory strategy for each CMO is prepared annually. The supervisory strategy identifies the supervisory work necessary to keep the CMO's risk profile current. The intensity of supervisory work depends on the nature, size, complexity and risk profile of the CMO. The Commission's planning also includes a process to compare the work effort across CMOs, e.g., thematic reviews. This is done to ensure that assessments of risk for individual CMOs are subject to a broader standard, and that supervisory resources are allocated effectively to higher-risk CMOs and significant activities.

3.2 Executing supervisory work and updating the risk profile

- 3.2.1 There is a continuum of supervisory work that ranges from monitoring (CMO-specific and external), to off-site reviews, to on-site reviews, including testing or sampling where necessary. When there are changes in the risk assessment of the CMO, the Commission responds by adjusting work priorities set out in the supervisory strategy and annual plan, as necessary, to ensure that important matters emerging take precedence over items of lesser risk. Such flexibility is vital to the Commission's ability to meet its mandate.

3.3 Reporting and intervention:

- 3.3.1 For each CMO, the Commission will designate a staff member as the relationship manager (RM). The RM will be responsible for the supervisory process in 4.2 and 4.3 for the CMOs under his or her responsibility. RM will be the main point of contact for the CMO with respect to all supervisory matters.
- 3.3.2 Among other communications, the Commission communicates its supervisory assessment and supervisory concerns to CMOs through Supervisory letters. Supervisory Letters are addressed to the CMO's Board of Directors with a copy to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). The CMO is required to respond to the Commission and address all issues raised within timelines set by the Commission. The main focus of the Supervisory letters will be to communicate to ensure management addresses the weaknesses in the CMOs risk management processes and management of financial resources to manage risk. The Commission will therefore expect the CMO to provide responses to the Supervisory Letter outlining the specific actions it intends to take to address matters raised. For this reason, the Commission will not focus on discussing specific risk ratings with CMOs, but rather focus on the specific corrective actions of the CMO's Board and Senior Management to address identified weaknesses.

4. Effective date and migration of capital markets to RBS

With effect from 31st March, 2022, the Commission will migrate the Zambian Capital Markets to a Risk Based Supervision framework as outlined in the SEC RBS Policy. To ensure successful implementation of the Risk Based Supervision, the Commission shall issue by such guidelines, circulars, directives and other guidance to CMOs. Guidance shall also be provided through industry engagements including workshops, trainings and one-on-one engagements with CMOs to identify and address any challenges CMOs may face once RBS is rolled out in the Zambian capital markets. Capital market operators and other stakeholders are therefore encouraged to keep themselves up to date on developments in this regard.

5. Contact details and further information

Capital markets operators and other stakeholders are encouraged to address their queries to:

The Chief Executive Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, Plot 3827 Parliament Road, Olympia, P. O. Box 35165 LUSAKA.

ADVT—568—0484647

Notice of Change of Name by Deed Poll

BY THIS DEED POLL, made the day 16th day of March, 2022, I the undersigned Happy Muzondi Ruwe of National Registration Card No. 253779/10/1 of Plot No. 41/4 ZEPH Flats, Joseph Mwila Road, Rhodes Park, Lusaka District in the Lusaka Province of Zambia hereby for myself absolutely and entirely relinquish and abandon the use of my former name of Happy Muzondi Ruwe and in lieu thereof do assume from the date hereby the name of Happy Ruwe.

And in pursuance of such change of names as aforesaid, I hereby declare that I shall in all records of deeds, certificates and other records and transactions and upon all occasions whatsoever use and subscribe my full name of Happy Ruwe in lieu of my renounced name aforementioned.

And I hereby authorise and request all persons to designate, describe and address me by such names of Happy Ruwe.

In witness whereof I have signed my assumed names of Happy Ruwe and have set my hand and seal this 16th day of March, 2022.

At Lusaka this 16th day of March, 2022.

Signed, sealed and delivered by the said Happy Ruwe at Lusaka this day of 2022, in the presence of:

S. NKHOMA,
Headteacher

4 ZEPH Flats Rhodes Park
LUSAKA

ADVT—569—0484658

Notice of Change of Name by Deed Poll

THIS DEED POLL, made the day of 2022 by me the undersigned Brenda Kamona Linanga of National Registration Card No. 203391/71/1 of Village Sachalwa, Chief Aibelilwe, District Sesheke of the Republic of Zambia do hereby for myself absolutely and entirely relinquish and abandon the use of my former names of Kamona Linanga and in lieu thereof do assume as from the date hereof the names of Brenda Kamona Linanga.

And in pursuance of such change of names as aforesaid, I hereby declare that I shall at all times hereafter in all records, deeds and instruments in writing and in all dealings and transactions and upon all occasions whatsoever to assign, use and subscribe the name of Brenda Kamona Linanga as my name in lieu of the renounced name as aforesaid.

And I hereby authorise and request all persons to designate, describe and address me by such name to the intent that my full names shall be Brenda Kamona Linanga.

In witness whereof I have hereunder signed my assumed names of Brenda Kamona Linanga and hereby set my hand and seal the day and year first before written.

At Lusaka this 16th day of March, 2022.

Signed, sealed and delivered by the said Brenda Kamona Linanga at Lusaka this day of 2022, in the presence of:

LIVINGSTONE

E. SIMATAA

ADVT—570—0484660

The Money-lenders Act

(Chapter 398 of the Laws of Zambia)

Notice of Intention to Apply for a Money-lender's Certificate

TAKE NOTICE that Pizadah Hamunjo of Plot No. 809B, Nyati Avenue in Mazabuka District intends to apply for a Money-Lender's Certificate in the Subordinate Court of the First Class for Mazabuka District on the 23rd day of March, 2022 at 0830 hours to conduct the money lending business in the name and title of Mofin Finance Limited at Mazabuka, in Mazabuka District.

Dated at Mazabuka this 11th day of March, 2022.

MAZABUKA

CLERK OF COURT